

## Work package n° 6- Management

### Deliverable D6.2

#### « Mid-term review meeting »

<b>Project acronym</b>	<b>List_MAPS</b>
<b>Project full title</b>	Training and research in <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> adaptation through proteomic and transcriptome deep sequencing analysis
<b>Grant Agreement n°</b>	641984
<b>Project duration</b>	01/03/2015 to 28/02/2019
<b>Deliverable title</b>	Mid-term review meeting
<b>Work package n°</b>	6
<b>WP leader</b>	Université de Bourgogne
<b>Author(s)</b>	Cécile Bernard (UB)
<b>Date of submission</b>	19/04/2017
<b>Dissemination level</b>	Public

## Mid-term meeting

### *a. Contractual obligation*

As stated in the Grant Agreement (article 19.1) the coordinator “must organise a mid-term meeting between the beneficiaries, the partner organization(s) and the Agency before the deadline for the submission of the report for RP1 (reporting period 1)”.

The project started the 1<sup>st</sup> March 2015 and the deadline for the submission of the report for RP1 is 30<sup>th</sup> April 2017.

The coordinator and the Project Officer agreed on the date, the venue and the agenda of the meeting and the coordinator informed all the partners and ESRs about the meeting. A draft periodic report was sent to the Project Officer 3 weeks before the meeting.

### *b. Objectives of the meeting*

The objective of the meeting was to assess the fulfilment of all aspects described in the Annex I-Description of the Action of the Grant Agreement with a particular attention to the training activities and networking aspects. It was also an opportunity to discuss and prepare the periodic report.

### *c. Agenda of the Mid-term meeting*

The mid-term meeting was held in Brussels on Thursday 23<sup>rd</sup> of February 2017. All the beneficiaries except one<sup>1</sup>, the partner organisation and the ESRs were present and met the Project Officer of the REA, Ms Stanka MITEVA and the external expert, Ms Federica ROSSI.

The meeting started with the coordinator’s report including the scientific, training, networking and management parts of the project. Then, the ESRs’ presented a slideshow of their project explaining their first results and methodology, their training activities within and outside the network and their Personal Career Development Plan.

During the afternoon, two restricted meetings were held with the REA representative and the expert, one for the ESRs’ and the second for the partners. The ESRs met the REA representative altogether and then, some of them met the REA representative individually. This meeting was an opportunity to discuss their experience within the network in terms of training, progress and impact on their future careers. The coordinator and partners met the REA representative to discuss financial issues and the periodic report.

At the end of the meeting, the REA representative and the expert gave their feedback.

---

<sup>1</sup> INRA unit Jouy-en-Josas

## **Annexes**

### **Annex 1: Agenda of the meeting**

#### **Mid-term review meeting Agenda**

**Venue: office of the "Région Bourgogne-Franche-Comté", 55 rue d'Arlon in Brussels.**

#### **23<sup>rd</sup> February 2017**

9:00 - 9:15 am: Introduction by the REA Policy Officer and the coordinator

9:15 - 9:40 am: Tour de table of scientists and partner organizations

9:40 - 10:35 am: Coordinator's report of all aspects (scientific, training, networking, management)

#### **Coffee break 10 min**

10:50 - 12:40 am: Slideshow of the ESRs

#### **12:40 - 2:00 pm: Lunch**

2:00 - 3:30 pm: Individual meetings between the REA representative and the ESR

3:30 – 4:00 pm: Restricted meeting between the REA representative and the coordinator and partners

4:00 - 5:00 pm: Feedback and open discussion

5:00 pm: end of meeting

## **Annex 2: External reviewer's feedback<sup>2</sup>**

### **Overall assessment:**

- Project has achieved most of its objectives and milestones for the period with relatively minor deviations.
- Project will likely provide results with significant immediate or potential impact (even if not all objectives mentioned in the Annex 1 to the GA were achieved).
- Dissemination was mostly oriented to science community and to general public. In the next future, stakeholder group will include industry, medical sector, policy makers with related important potential impacts and important ways of exploitation.
- Besides of some delay in secondments and in Individual researches for having dedicated time to set up common protocols, the project at this stage was delivering noticeable advancement in science and related technology.

### **Results:**

- Scientific and/or technological achievements of the project are so far interesting, since investigation on effect of specific environments, diets, methods of control of listeria infecting and biofilm formation has been largely addressed.
- Good advancement of the state of the art in relation to the comprehension of Listeria adaptation through proteomic and transcriptomic sequencing analysis,
- The scientific and/or technological quality of the results as shown so far is very good.
- There is a strong potential impact on society.
- Also, generation of scientist with promising researcher career is going to be formed.
- Dissemination activities and results: publications are largely still in progress, but this is a rule in such a complex scientific issue
- Progress of the activities: main research / innovation / / transfer of knowledge activities have been carried out in a good way.
- Main objectives have been achieved, the research was in compliance with the work plan, minor deviations and corrective actions are detailed in this report.
- Milestones for the period and submission and acceptance of deliverables are correct.
- Timing of each ESR in carrying out his research work should be respected, Supervisors and co-supervisors are recommended to follow carefully and proactively the research and provide substantial support to each of the ESR in carrying out its project.

### **Issues to be followed-up:**

No major corrective actions are required. However, some issues require further follow up.

---

<sup>2</sup> This is an extract from the email sent by the Project Officer to the coordinator the 03/03/17